

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/519,428	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KOREN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Peter A. Hruskoci	<b>Art Unit</b> 1724	

**All Participants:**

(1) Peter A. Hruskoci.

(2) Sean Kelleher.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 29 March 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Prior Art Rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 10/30/06*

Claims discussed:

*All*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Prior Art of Record*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*It was agreed to amend the claims and specification as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record in view of pages 6 and 7, Example 1, and Table 3 of the specification, and for the sake of clarity and accuracy.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*Peter A. Hruskoci*

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)